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## PROCEEDINGS

MAYOR SPANO: Mary.
MS. LYRAS: Mayor Spano?
MAYOR SPANO: Here.
MS. LYRAS: Peter Kischak?
MR. KISCHAK: Here.
MS. LYRAS: Cecile Singer?
MS. SINGER: Here.
MS. LYRAS: Melissa Nacerino?
MS. NACERINO: Here.
MS. LYRAS: And Wilson Kimball
is absent. We have a quorum.
MAYOR SPANO: We have the
minutes for the November 30, 2018
meeting in front of all of you. Take a look at them, peruse them. Do you have any questions?

If there are no questions, does anyone want to make a motion?

MR. KISCHAK: I'll make a motion.

MAYOR SPANO: Peter made a motion. Seconded by Melissa that we accept the minutes of November 30.

All in favor?

## PROCEEDINGS

(Chorus of ayes.)
MAYOR SPANO: Any negatives?
The item is passed. Okay, Mary.
MS. LYRAS: In the month of November we received \$2,500 in agency fees closing on Hudson Blue.

Our cash on hand on November 30th was 320,000.

We have our accountant, Peter
Diehl, on hand if anyone has any questions.

MAYOR SPANO: Are there any questions about the financials?

Does anyone want to make a motion? Cecile made a motion. Seconded by Melissa.

All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
MAYOR SPANO: Financials are passed. Let's go to item 4.

MS. McGILL: The resolutions
for consideration; the first resolution is an authorizing resolution for Warburton Wells
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Unwind. Shawn Griffin, Harris Beach. MR. GRIFFIN: This is nowhere near as complicated as the Larkin Garage because we had much lighter activity. We were not heavily involved with the structure of the transaction.

All YEDC did with this ... this
is the Warburton Wells. That's that
street scape frontage that was preserved as part of a debate back and forth that became part of the housing and the large tower behind it instead of the tower coming all the way to the street.

There was a lot of grants and loans put together to try to make that happen. It was horribly expensive.

One of the grants that Empire State Development provided could have been just put into the project as a grant and you can see the amount, it was $\$ 3,505,000$. That was intended to
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be a grant for that project. And instead of doing a straight grant into the project, we had the grant come to YEDC and then YEDC loaned it into the project. That created the basis for the new market tax credits and created that 39 percent credit, and it basically increased the value of that grant significantly.

It had to stay as a loan during the seven year market period. That's over with. That was right at the start of the Mayor's administration, and at this point they are looking for us to forgive that quote loan to convert it back to what really was intended to be a grant to facilitate the redevelopment of the whole frontage along that street.

So, the request is to unwind the formerly structured loan and convert it back to the intended grant.

The grant conditions have been
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fully complied with or held harmless by the Warburton Wells entity.

So, our role is really kind of a conduit passthrough in this. So, today's resolution is asking you to take that final action after the seven year recapture period, which is now, and agree that that can be unwound to be back to a grant basically by forgiving the loan.

MAYOR SPANO: Any questions?
MS. SINGER: It's an
interesting transaction.
MR. GRIFFIN: It will clean up
our balance sheet, too because I think we've been showing it as a loan for all this time, but that's the way it was structured with the idea that the board would then convert back to a grant after that period.

Again, you probably picked up another 6, $\$ 700,000$ on a 3.5 at the end of this project because of that.
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MS. SINGER: So, we won't have to explain to our auditors?

MR. GRIFFIN: I'm going to have to explain it to the auditors. This would be the last time.

MS. SINGER: We are confident in the fact that any action that YEDC takes that our counsel has approved it and recommended it.

MR. GRIFFIN: Yes. You can put that on the record. I recommend it. I reviewed the documents. Your position is not taken in terms of a risk. We are still being held harmless by the company.

MR. KISCHAK: Good point, Cecile.

MAYOR SPANO: Thank you, Cecile. Anybody else? I've got no questions. Does someone want to make a motion? Melissa made a motion. Seconded by Pete.

All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
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MAYOR SPANO: Any negatives?
The item is passed.
MS. McGILL: The final
resolution is a resolution to authorize issuance of RFQ for advisory services on City of Yonkers opportunity zones.

MR. GRIFFIN: This is the identical resolution the IDA just passed. The reason we are asking permission to do an RFQ for both agencies is we are not exactly sure who was going to respond and what the proposals would be. Because they'll be out working with the same business community the IDA is working with and Jamie and the leadership is doing pilots with, I wanted to preserve our right to maybe just do this contract through YEDC. I don't want to get to the closing table and have somebody help them move their opportunity zones at the very time the IDA is doing the pilot.
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So, depending on who responds, if it's more than the megaphone, if it's more than the facilitator, if it's part of this fund concept, we'll come back and talk to you at a subsequent meeting and make a recommendation, but either YEDC or YIDA would have the right to contract with one of these parties that comes in. Thank you.

MAYOR SPANO: Does anyone have any questions?

Melissa made a motion.
Seconded by Cecile.
All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
MAYOR SPANO: Any legal
updates?
MS. GROSZ: None.
MAYOR SPANO: Any other
business? Can someone make a motion to adjourn? Pete, seconded by Melissa.

All in favor?
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C E R T I F I C A TE

SS.:

| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:16, } 9: 25 \\ & \text { along }[1]-5: 20 \\ & \text { am }[2]-11: 12,11: 15 \\ & \text { amount }[1]-4: 24 \\ & \text { another }[1]-6: 24 \\ & \text { any }[11]-2: 17,3: 3,3: 11 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | ```9:22 cash [1] - 3:8 Cecile [5] - 2:7, 3:16, 7:18, 7:20, 9:15 CECILE [1] - 1:16 certify [2] - 11:9, 11:12 CHAIRMAN [2] - 1:15, 1:17``` | ```does [4] - 2:18, 3:15, 7:21, 9:12 doing[3] - 5:3, 8:18, 8:25 don't [1] - 8:21 during [1] - 5:11``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$2,500 [1]-3:6 |  |  |  |
| \$3,505,000 [1] - 4:25 |  |  |  |
| \$700,000 [1] - 6:24 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10701[1]-1: 8 \\ & 19[1]-1: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 9:18, 9:21, 11:13 <br> anybody [1] - 7:20 <br> anyone [4]-2:19, 3:11, |  | E |
|  |  | ```1:17 CHIEF [1] - 1:20 Chorus[1] - 9:17 chorus [4]-3:2, 3:19, 7:25,10:2``` | E[6] - 1:14, 1:21, 11:2 |
| 2 | 3:15, 9:12 approved $[1]-7: 9$ are [8] - 2:18, 3:20, 5:15, |  | $\text { either }[1]-9: 8$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2018[2]-1: 11,2: 14 \\ & 2019[1]-11: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 7,7: 15,8: 11,8: 13,10: 3 \\ & \text { are there }[1]-3: 13 \\ & \text { as }[6]-4: 4,4: 12,4: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY [2] }-1: 2,1: 6 \\ & \text { clean [1] - } 6: 16 \\ & \text { closing }[2]-3: 7,8: 22 \end{aligned}$ | else [1] - 7:20 <br> Empire [1] - 4:21 <br> end [1] - 6:25 |
| 3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entity }[1]-6: 3 \\ & \text { ESQ }[3]-1: 22,1: 23,1: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3 . 5}[1]-6: 24 \\ & \mathbf{3 0}[2]-2: 14,2: 24 \\ & \text { 30th }[1]-3: 9 \\ & \text { 320,000 }[1]-3: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { asking }[2]-6: 7,8: 11 \\ \text { at }[7]-2: 16,5: 13,5: 15, \\ 6: 24,8: 24,9: 6,10: 5 \\ \text { auditors }[2]-7: 3,7: 5 \end{gathered}$ | comes [1] - 9:10 <br> coming [1] - 4:15 <br> community [1]-8:17 <br> company [1] - 7:16 | exactly [1] - 8:13 <br> EXECUTIVE ${ }_{[1]}-1: 1$ <br> expensive [1]-4:20 <br> explain [2] - 7:3, 7:5 |
| 39 [1] - 5:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { authorize }[1]-8: 6 \\ & \text { authorizing }[1]-3: 24 \\ & \text { Avenue }[1]-1: 7 \\ & \text { ayes }[5]-3: 2,3: 19,7: 25 \text {, } \\ & 9: 17,10: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { complicated }[1]-4: 4 \\ & \text { complied }[1]-6: 2 \end{aligned}$ | F |
| 4 |  | concept [1] - 9:5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{4}_{[1]}-3: 21 \\ & \mathbf{4 7 0}{ }_{[1]}-1: 7 \\ & \text { 4th }[1]-11: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | concluded [1] - 10:5 <br> conditions [1] - 5:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilitate }[1]-5: 18 \\ & \text { facilitator }[1]-9: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | B | confident $[1]$ - 7:7 <br> consideration [1] - 3:23 | favor [5] - 2:25, 3:18, |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { back }[6]-4: 12,5: 17, \\ & 5: 23,6: 10,6: 21,9: 6 \\ & \text { balance }[1]-6: 17 \\ & \text { basically }[2]-5: 9,6: 11 \\ & \text { basis }_{[1]}-5: 7 \\ & \text { be }[7]-5: 2,5: 18,6: 10, \end{aligned}$ |  | fees [1] - 3:7 <br> final [2] - 6:7, 8:4 <br> financials [2] - 3:14, 3:20 |
| 6[1]-6:24 |  | contract [2]-8:20, 9:9 <br> convert [3]-5:17, 5:23, |  |
| 9 |  | CORPORATION [1] - 1:4 <br> correct ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:10 | first $[1]-3: 23$ <br> FISCAL [1] - 1:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:15[1] - 1:12 } \\ & 9: 22[1]-10: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 7:6, 8:15, 8:16 BEACH 22$]-1: 23,1: 23$ | correct [1] - 11:10 <br> could [1] - 4:22 <br> counsel [1] - 7:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{FISCAL}_{[1]}-1: 20 \\ & \text { for }[10]-2: 14,3: 23,3: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| A | Beach [1] - 4:2 | COUNSEL [1] - 1:22 COUNTY ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ - 11:5 | 8:12, 11:8 |
| A.M [2] - 1:12, 10:5 <br> about [1] - 3:14 <br> above [1] - 11:10 <br> absent [2]-1:15, 2:12 <br> accept [1] - 2:24 <br> ACCOUNTANT [1] - 1:24 <br> accountant [1] - 3:10 <br> action $[3]-6: 7,7: 8$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { because }[4]-4: 5,6: 17, \\ & 6: 25,8: 15 \\ & \text { been }[3]-4: 23,5: 25 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | ```created [2]-5:6, 5:8 credit [] - 5:8 credits [1] - 5:7``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forgiving }[1]-6: 11 \\ & \text { formerly }[1]-5: 22 \\ & \text { forth }[1]-4: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:18 } \\ & \text { behind }[1]-4: 14 \end{aligned}$ | D | ```front [1]-2:15 frontage [2]-4:11, 5:20 fully [1] - 6:2 fund [1]-9:5 further [1] - 11:12``` |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { being }[1]-7: 15 \\ & \text { blood }[1]-11: 14 \\ & \text { Blue }[1]-3: 7 \end{aligned}$ | DATE [1] - 1:11 <br> dAVID [1] - 1:23 <br> day [1] - 11:18 <br> debate [1]-4:12 <br> December [1]-1:11 <br> depending [1] - 9:2 <br> Development [1] - 4:22 <br> DEVELOPMENT [1] - 1:4 <br> did [1] - 4:9 <br> Diehl [1] - 3:11 <br> DIEHL [1]-1:24 <br> DIRECTOR [1] - 1:19 <br> do [3]-8:12, 8:20, 11:8 <br> do you [1] - 2:16 <br> documents [1] - 7:13 |  |
| activity [1] - 4:6 | BOARD [2] - 1:5, 1:16 board [1] - 6:21 |  | G |
| adjourned [1] - 10:3 <br> administration [1] - 5:14 <br> advisory [1] - 8:7 <br> after $[2]-6: 8,6: 22$ <br> again [1] - 6:23 <br> agencies [1] - 8:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { both }[1]-8: 12 \\ & \text { business }[2]-8: 16,9: 22 \\ & \text { but }[2]-6: 19,9: 8 \\ & \text { by }[10]-2: 23,3: 17,6: 3 \text {, } \\ & 6: 11,7: 16,7: 23,9: 15 \text {, } \\ & 9: 23,11: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Garage }[1]-4: 5 \\ & \text { get }[1]-8: 21 \\ & \text { go }[1]-3: 21 \\ & \text { going }[2]-7: 4,8: 14 \\ & \text { good }[1]-7: 17 \\ & \text { got }[1]-7: 20 \\ & \text { grant }[10]-4: 24,5: 2,5: 3, \\ & 5: 4,5: 10,5: 18,5: 24, \\ & 5: 25,6: 11,6: 22 \\ & \text { grants }[2]-4: 17,4: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { agency }[1]-3: 6 \\ & \text { agree }[1]-6: 9 \end{aligned}$ | C |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { all }[9]-2: 15,2: 25,3: 18, \\ & 4: 9,4: 15,6: 19,7: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}_{[2]}-11: 2 \\ & \operatorname{can}_{[4]}-4: 24,6: 10,7: 11, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |




