2	Board Meeting
3	of the
4	Yonkers Economic Development Corporation
5	
6	November 1, 2016 9:55 a.m.
7	40 South Broadway
8	Yonkers, New York 10701
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	
11	EXECUTIVE AGENDA
12	1. Roll Call
13 14	 Resolutions for Consideration: Resolution for Financial Assistance with YIDA Financial Assistance - SoYo Exalta LLC
15	3. Legal Updates
16	4. Other Business
17	5. Adjournment
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported By:
23	Margaret Prendergast
24	902 Pleasantville Road Briarcliff, New York 10510
25	

2	APPEARANCES
3	BOARD MEMBERS
4	DEPUTY MAYOR SUSAN GERRY - SECRETARY
5	CECILE SINGER - BOARD MEMBER
6	PETER KISCHAK - BOARD MEMBER
7	MELISSA NACERINO - BOARD MEMBER
8	
9	IDA STAFF
10	JAIME MCGILL - IDA EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
11	MARY LYRAS - IDA CHIEF FISCAL OFFICER
12	
13	OTHER
14	SHAWN GRIFFIN - HARRIS BEACH PLLC/TRANSACTION COUNSEL
15	DAVID ROTHMAN, ESQ HARRIS BEACH PLLC/TRANSACTION COUNSEL
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Proceedings
2	MS. GERRY: So, we're calling to order
3	the Special Meeting of the Yonkers Economic
4	Development Corporation, November 1st, 2016.
5	We'll do Roll Call.
6	MS. LYRAS: Mayor Spano is excused.
7	Deputy Mayor Gerry?
8	MS. GERRY: Here.
9	MS. LYRAS: Cecile Singer?
10	MS. SINGER: Here.
11	MS. LYRAS: Pete Kischak is running
12	late.
13	And Melissa Nacerino?
14	MS. NACERINO: Here.
15	MS. LYRAS: Deputy Mayor, we have a
16	quorum.
17	MS. GERRY: Great, thank you.
18	The first item on the agenda is the
19	Resolution for Consideration of the Resolution for
20	Financial Assistance Coordinated with the IDA for
21	SoYo Exalta LLC.
22	Harris Beach, you want to speak with us?
23	MR. GRIFFIN: Sure. The background on
24	this particular project they've been RXR has
25	been trying to vote for that site for a couple of

Proceed

2	years now. They've worked with the administration
3	on all the financial assistance. A year ago or
4	last December in 2015, the IDA did the final
5	Resolution and that's reflected in the
6	(inaudible.) The final resolution kind of played a
7	mortgage tax exemption and a property tax
8	agreement consisting of UTeC and the sales tax
9	exemption build-out. All that's able to be
10	delivered up to about a month ago.
11	A month ago, it was actually John Jay
12	from Sysco from Syracuse, he was worried about the

A month ago, it was actually John Jay from Sysco from Syracuse, he was worried about the transportation funding for the local transportation district. He had introduced the bill a few times and it just caught wind at the end of the last session. And the assembly guys picked up on it for MTA and passed it. It surprised everybody when it went through. So, what it effectively says is the IDA, of the 1.8 percent mortgage tax, that is in your community, about .25 percent goes to MTA to fund their activities. The aggregate amount for this bill is a few million dollars, state-wide. So, it's a little odd that they would pass this like this. And it gives us pause, you know, this starts to

1	Proceedings
2	erode the IDA's ability to do the transactions
3	that we've been accustomed to.
4	Long story short, the lease was the
5	ones, that are in final resolution like this, that
6	have already done all their performance and all
7	their activities. This is about a \$300,000 change
8	in their costs. There's a \$120,000,000 mortgage,
9	.25 percent. So, it's a little shy of \$300,000.
10	The difficulty with it is, we're seeing
11	a whole series of projects. We've got about a
12	dozen of them so far. We're getting through them.
13	Some are coming back to the IDAs and EDCs and
14	letting that go through the government's process.
15	But effectively, our Economic Development Agency,
16	which is the primary one for the IDA, offered a
17	complete mortgage tax exemption, a year ago
18	December, that it can't deliver today. It can't
19	deliver it without a sister entity. A sister
20	entity
21	(Mr. Kischak is now present.)
22	MR. KISCHAK: Sorry I'm late. I thought
23	it was tomorrow.
24	MS. SINGER: Since he's retired, he

sleeps in.

1	Proceedings
Τ	rioceedings
2	MS. GERRY: Yeah, right. What is this?
3	MR. KISCHAK: Can't blame a man for
4	trying.
5	MS. MCGILL: Can we just make sure that
6	the record states that Pete Kischak is here.
7	MS. SINGER: Yes.
8	MR. GRIFFIN: We're just getting started
9	so you're perfectly on time.
10	Pete, background, the IDA approved the
11	RXR project, what they call SoYo, December 2015.
12	They negotiated a pilot, we're ready to close.
13	That approval kind of played in full, mortgage tax
14	exemption, property tax exemption and a sales tax
15	exemption. The difficulty they had is, at the end
16	of last session, a surprise bill went through.
17	Cecile knows more than I on how that happens but
18	at the eleventh hour one went through. And it
19	said that the IDA can no longer exempt the
20	mortgage tax that goes for the transportation
21	districts. So, that's in this community. That's
22	in Albany, Syracuse, Rochester area and Buffalo.
23	The difficulty is, the Governor is going
24	to veto it. He actually announced he was going to

veto this bill. And then he worked with the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ι	Proceedings
2	Legislature and they promised they would do a
3	Chapter Amendment. Now, that's all well and good.
4	The newspaper wrote it up with the Governor
5	successfully defending this thing and vetoed it.
6	Or he didn't veto it. He said, "I'll sign it but
7	you have to do a Chapter Amendment." Now, you
8	have to be in session to do a Chapter Amendment.
9	By the time they handed the bill to the Governor,
10	it was well past that. And so, there will be no
11	Chapter Amendment, at least, you now, he signed it
12	about a month ago. So, there's a lot of confusion
13	in the community up there.
14	RXR is not was not prepared for a
15	\$300,000 surprise. The .25 percent mortgage tax

at a \$120,000,000 mortgage that they're closing, was almost \$300,000 in savings. Very, very significant to them. So, giving that we approved it by the IDA, now the IDA can't deliver it. The company -- the discussions never went this far because we checked with the administration and set this up to come and talk to you, but, the IDA is also getting a significant fee. None of the -the two other deals we have, the developer immediately goes to that fee and says, "I'm not

Proceedings

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paying a	full fee	if you're	not giving	the full
benefits	you were	suppose to	provide."	That's not
really th	ne reason	to do it.	It's more	background.

Why does YEDC step up and offer the benefits? Over time, these Local Development Corporations and IDAs, and I knew a lot of Local Development Corporations, so let me just use the term YEDC, but it's formed under that statute, can become kind of the sister entity for economic development. So, when IDAs, after 2008, couldn't do bonding, YEDC does the bonding. There's some bonding. The primary bonding that we do, when we first came and talked to you, it was for housing. Low-income housing tax credits deals. The one from that went out the window actually was the first one. Those deals are for-profit actually. They're also formed to do not-for-profit tax exempt bonds. We generally don't see those that often unless you have a hospital or college like Sarah Lawrence College, something like that. So, in other communities they are being done for, and I think Sue you mentioned this, the IDA and -from '93 until 2008, or from '86 to 2008, could assist not-for-profits, owned or not-for-profits,

1	Proceedings
2	is called Symmetry Facilities. That definition
3	came and went, came and went. It finally went
4	away completely in 2008. That's when
5	MS. SINGER: Because the job because,
6	you know, there was another factor.
7	MR. GRIFFIN: Another factor, I think,
8	quite frankly, if you look at what's happening
9	since then, Yasmine is taking over most of the
10	not-for-profit bonding. And out there in the
11	community since 1954, there's an IRS ruling that
12	says you can use this type of entity if the mayor
13	of the city controls it for bonding. Well, since
14	the sixties, there was also the opinion these
15	Local Development Corps were formed under the
16	Membership Corporation Law. And then they were
17	consolidated into the not-for-profit Corporation
18	Laws in the seventies under 1411. So you hear
19	those different terms.
20	These Local Development Corporations
21	pre-date the 1969 IDA Act. But the 1969 IDA Act

These Local Development Corporations

pre-date the 1969 IDA Act. But the 1969 IDA Act

focused on bonding until '86. You know, bonding

was the biggest thing to talk about. So over

time, IDAs became a bigger front for economic

development in a lot of areas. These Development

1 Proceedings

2	Corps, we kind of stopped using because we didn't
3	need them because the IDAs could do all four
4	things. When they start to peel them back what
5	IDAs can do, we start revisiting these sister
6	entities. So, first we did bonding, after 2008.
7	And now, it's a mortgage tax. The mortgage tax,
8	this is commonly done for SBA 504 loans, it's kind
9	of really done for the old JBA programs. That's
10	when these types of LDC were very, very active
11	back in the sixties and seventies. So it's not
12	unique. There's a bunch of cases on it that you
13	can. They send these mortgagor or mortgagee to
14	provide the exemption. What we're proposing here,
15	if the board approves it, is deliver a full
16	mortgage tax exemption by this entity. RXR owns
17	the land. Just like the IDA, there's a lease to
18	the IDA and a leaseback. So they have a property
19	interest. They can then grant a mortgage against
20	that property interest and the key thing is the
21	IDA tells the clerk, "I'm responsible for
22	recording it. I'm the exempt entity. Here's an
23	affidavit from our counsel. Please record it."
24	Well now that affidavit has to have an exception
25	that says except for the transportation tax.

1 Proceedings

2	So we want to do the same thing. We now
3	have the, for a short period of time, through
4	December 31st, YEDC would be in a lease/leaseback
5	structure. We would simply need a writer that
6	says for purposes of definition of agency in a
7	lease/leaseback, it includes the IDA, the YEDC.
8	But YEDC is only in title through December 31st.
9	That way, you're only going to have to report this
LO	for the YEDC project one year. And so, they only
L1	have to be in there the day they record the
12	mortgage. And then both parties will commit to
13	record the mortgage. The IDA is typical of the
L 4	IDAs that typically the YEDC could do it on
L5	their own. We're going to say both in case there
L 6	is any challenges to that. So, at this point, the
L 7	proposal is, the YEDC as the sister Economic
L8	Development Agency would enter, through a writer,
L 9	one or two page document, that says, "I'm in it
20	too with the IDA to get a lease/leaseback from
21	RXR. You're not providing a sales tax exemption.
22	You're not providing a property tax exemption.
23	It's all done by the IDA. Only an IDA can do a
24	pilot.

MS. SINGER: All right. So, my question

1	Proceedings
2	is, will you give us a legal opinion? Okay.
3	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.
4	MS. SINGER: Okay. So, a legal opinion
5	will be attached to this bill?
6	MR. GRIFFIN: Sure. Yes.
7	MS. SINGER: Okay. So, you will have a
8	written legal opinion describing what you just
9	said. And that we are entitled to do this, the
10	EDC.
11	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes. It will be
12	unqualified and we'll attach it to your form and
13	you'll approve it at your next meeting.
14	MR. KISCHAK: Is this the first time
15	anything has been done like this?
16	MR. GRIFFIN: We've done about a dozen
17	of them since this happened about a month ago.
18	When the Governor announced he was vetoing it, we
19	thought we were all set, when it was the press
20	cycle. It was literally about a month ago. And
21	the problem is, this Chapter Amendment
22	MS. SINGER: It's unique.
23	MR. KISCHAK: I remember you talking
24	about that at the last meeting.
25	MR. GRIFFIN: I probably mentioned it,

	13
1	Proceedings
2	yes.
3	MR. KISCHAK: Yes. So, has there been
4	any challenges?
5	MR. GRIFFIN: No. I don't think there
6	can be because the right to do it goes back to the
7	sixties. And you'll be screwing up all these
8	MS. SINGER: We're technically not a
9	Local Development Corporation. We're an EDC.
10	MR. GRIFFIN: No, you're a
11	MS. SINGER: We can be under, you know,
12	we can be in that category. But we still are a
13	separate entity.
14	MR. GRIFFIN: Correct. I think the
15	terminology, I just want to mention is you're
16	right. We use the term Economic Development
17	Corporation but we're forming under 1411
18	Not-for-Profit Corporation Law. And that's the
19	one that says exempt from taxes. You have to be
20	that kind of not-for-profit.
21	MS. SINGER: So, you have to be able to
22	trace it back.
23	MR. GRIFFIN: Right.
24	MS SINGER. It's a complex area as you

say and you know.

1	Proceedings
2	MR. GRIFFIN: It's actually going back
3	to old school to tell you the truth. We were
4	doing this I wasn't doing this. They were
5	doing this in the sixties.
6	Questions? Concerns?
7	MS. GERRY: There's no cost whatsoever
8	to the EDC since they're not extending any debt,
9	they're not extending
LO	MR. GRIFFIN: Correct. And again
L1	Jaime and you guys can talk about this but the
L2	large fee that goes to the IDA, it can all go to
L3	the IDA. You can put a little bit in the EDC,
L 4	whatever you want to do.
15	MS. GERRY: How much is the fee?
L 6	MR. GRIFFIN: 911? 912?
L7	MS. MCGILL: Yes.
L8	MR. GRIFFIN: \$912,000.
L9	MS. GERRY: I'm sorry?
20	MR. GRIFFIN: \$912,000.
21	MS. MCGILL: 907.
22	MR. GRIFFIN: Plus your two grand for
23	the EDC.
24	MS. MCGILL: And then we can charge them

our annual fee for the Three Triple A recording

1	Proceedings
2	under YEDC.
3	MR. GRIFFIN: Yes. And the nice thing
4	is without further paperwork, it's, by it's own
5	documentation, in the County Clerk's office is
6	going to say the YEDC is not in this after
7	December 31st.
8	MS. SINGER: That's the most important
9	part.
10	MS. GERRY: Great. Does anyone have any
11	more questions?
12	Does anyone have a motion to approve the
13	resolution?
14	MS. NACERINO: Motion.
15	MR. KISCHAK: (Hand Raised.)
16	MS. GERRY: Melissa. Seconded by Pete.
17	All in favor?
18	(Chorus of Ayes.)
19	MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you for your time.
20	MS. GERRY: Thank you.
21	Are there any Legal Updates?
22	MS. MCGILL: None.
23	MS. GERRY: Other Business?
24	MS. MCGILL: None.
25	MS. GERRY: Can I have a motion to

			16
1		Proceedings	
2	adjourn?		
3		MR. KISCHAK: (Hand Raised.)	
4		MS. GERRY: Pete.	
5		Again, sorry I'm late.	
6		MS. GERRY: All in favor of adjournment	?
7		(Chorus of Ayes.)	
8		MS. GERRY: Thank you everyone.	
9		(Whereupon, the Board Meeting	
10		concludes at 10:07 a.m.)	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5) Ss.
6	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)
7	
8	I, MARGARET PRENDERGAST, Court Reporter and
9	Notary Public within and for the County of Westchester
10	State of New York, do hereby certify:
11	That I reported the proceedings that are
12	hereinbefore set forth, and that such transcript is a
13	true and accurate record of said proceedings.
14	AND, I further certify that I am not related
15	to any of the parties to this action by blood or
16	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the
17	outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand thisday of, 2016.
20	
21	
22	
23	MARGARET PRENDERGAST
24	
25	